

Analytic Solution for CVA of a Collateralised Call Option

Colin Turfus

Credit Model Validation,
Deutsche Bank, London

March 24, 2017

Disclaimer

The views expressed herein should not be considered as investment advice or promotion. They represent personal research of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of his employers (current or past), or the associates or affiliates thereof.

CVA is a hard problem!

European equity options can be priced and risk-managed with an analytic formula. But if you want the associated CVA:

- ▶ you need a credit default intensity model;
- ▶ option pricing becomes an integral over the conditional price of a compound option;
- ▶ possible equity-intensity correlation requires explicit stochastic modelling for *both* processes;
- ▶ equity may jump at default so survival/default-contingent equity models are needed;
- ▶ collateral may be updated dynamically so an option becomes a portfolio of forward-starting options;
- ▶ possible netting for a counterparty prevents CVA being defined at a per-instrument level.

Analytic Pricing versus Monte Carlo?

- ▶ Normally use Monte Carlo simulation for such problems.
- ▶ Even then ad hoc assumptions often needed about future *exposure* distribution.

We will show how instead the Black-Scholes framework can be extended → compute CVA analytically.

Overview of Presentation

- ▶ Stochastic modelling of credit intensity and equity price
- ▶ Derivation of governing PDE
- ▶ Asymptotic representation of solution to PDE
- ▶ Approximate calculation of CVA for call/put options (incorporating jump and correlation risk)
- ▶ Extension for dynamic CVA update
- ▶ Extension to portfolio of options (with single counterparty)

Modelling assumptions

- ▶ Rates are deterministic.
- ▶ Credit intensity follows a Black-Karasinski short rate model [BK91]
- ▶ Equity price follows a lognormal diffusion process with an assumed jump of relative size $k < 0$ in the event of a default of the option-writing counterparty.
- ▶ Equity and credit diffusions are assumed correlated (typically negatively).
- ▶ Collateral follows a predetermined schedule.

Credit model

Following [Turfus16a], let credit intensity λ_t be defined by

$$\lambda_t = (\bar{\lambda}(t) + \lambda^*(t))\mathcal{E}(y_t), \quad (1)$$

where the auxiliary process y_t is governed by

$$dy_t = -\alpha y_t dt + \sigma_y(t) dW_t^1, \quad (2)$$

$\bar{\lambda}(t)$ is defined implicitly by

$$E \left[e^{-\int_0^t \lambda_s ds} \right] = e^{-\int_0^t \bar{\lambda}(s) ds} \quad (3)$$

and $\lambda^*(t)$ is to be determined by calibration. The survival probabilities defined in Eq. (3) are assumed known from market data.

Equity model

For the equity process we propose, extending the approach of [Turfus16b]:

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = \begin{cases} (\bar{r}(t) - q(t) - k\lambda_t) dt + \sigma_1(t) dW_t^2 + kdn_t & \text{if } t \leq \tau, \\ (\bar{r}(t) - q(t) + \sigma_2(t) dW_t^2 & \text{if } t > \tau, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

with τ the counterparty default time. We expect calibration to option prices will result in $\sigma_1(t) < \sigma_2(t)$.

Further suppose

$$\text{corr}(W_t^1, W_t^2) = \rho_{\lambda S}, \quad (5)$$

typically negative.

Variances and Discount Factors

Define term variances and term covariance:

$$I_1(t_1, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sigma_1^2(u) du.$$

$$I_2(t_1, v, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^v \sigma_1^2(u) du + \int_v^{t_2} \sigma_2^2(u) du.$$

$$I_y(t_1, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-2\alpha(t_2-u)} \sigma_y^2(u) du$$

$$I_\rho(t_1, t_2) := \rho_{\lambda S} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-a(t_2-u)} \sigma_y(u) \sigma_1(u) du,$$

Let $D(t_1, t_2)$ be the discount factor and

$$B(t_1, t_2) = D(t_1, t_2) e^{-\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \bar{\lambda}(s) ds}$$

the corresponding risky discount factor.

Change of Equity Variable

Express the equity price S_t in terms of a new auxiliary process x_t , conditional on default at time $\tau = v$ through

$$S_t = \begin{cases} F_1(t)e^{x_t - \frac{1}{2}I_1(0,t)} & \text{if } t < v, \\ (1+k)F_2(v, t)e^{x_t - \frac{1}{2}I_2(0,v,t)} & \text{if } t \geq v, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where

$$F_1(t) := S_0 e^{\int_0^t (\bar{r}(s) - q(s) - k\bar{\lambda}(s)) ds}, \quad (7)$$

$$F_2(v, t) := F_1(v) e^{\int_v^t (\bar{r}(s) - q(s)) ds}, \quad (8)$$

Also define

$$M_1(x, t) := e^{x - \frac{1}{2}I_1(0,t)} F_1(t),$$

$$M_2(x, v, t) := e^{x - \frac{1}{2}I_2(0,v,t)} F_2(v, t).$$

CVA Payoff

The price of a call option with strike K and maturity T_m will be given by the standard Black formula:

$$f_m(x, t) = D(t, T_m) ((1 + k)M_2(x, t, T_m)N(d_1(x, t, T_m)) - KN(d_2(x, t, T_m))), \quad (9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(x, t, u) &:= \frac{\ln((1 + k)M_2(x, t, u)) - \ln K}{\sqrt{I_2(t, u)}}, \\ d_1(x, t, u) &:= d_2(x, t, u) + \sqrt{I_2(t, u)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the payoff function for default at time $\tau = v$ with $x_v = \xi$ is given by

$$P_m(\xi, v) = (1 - R) \max \{f_m(\xi, v) - C(v), 0\}. \quad (10)$$

with $C(t)$ the collateral at time t and R the counterparty recovery rate (henceforth assumed for notational convenience to be zero).

PDE Representation

Suppose $\text{CVA}(t)$ can be expressed as $h(x_t, y_t, t)$. We want $\text{CVA}(0) \equiv h(0, 0, 0)$. Applying the well-known Feynman-Kac method we obtain:

$$\mathcal{L}[h(x, y, t)] = -(\bar{\lambda}(t) + \Delta\lambda(y, t)) P_m(x, t) + \Delta\lambda(y, t) \left(h + k \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right) \quad (11)$$

for $t \in D_m$ with final condition that $h(x, y, T_m) = 0$, where $\mathcal{L}[\cdot]$ is a forced diffusion operator given by

$$\mathcal{L}[\cdot] := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \alpha y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2(t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \rho_{\lambda S} \sigma_1(t) \sigma_y(t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_y^2(t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - (\bar{r}(t) + \bar{\lambda}(t)) \quad (12)$$

and

$$\Delta\lambda(y, t) := (\bar{\lambda}(t) + \lambda^*(t)) \mathcal{E}_y(y_t) - \bar{\lambda}(t)$$

with $\mathcal{E}_y(y_t) := \mathcal{E}(y_t)|_{y_t=y}$. We observe that $\mathcal{L}[\cdot]$ thus defined has a well-known Green's function solution. . .

Asymptotic Scaling

Following [Turfus16a], we suppose the credit intensity to be small and define an asymptotically small parameter ϵ by

$$\epsilon := \frac{1}{\alpha T_m} \int_0^{T_m} \bar{\lambda}(t) dt.$$

We then define an $O(1)$ scaled forward intensity $\tilde{\lambda}(t)$ by

$$\tilde{\lambda}(t) := \epsilon^{-1} \bar{\lambda}(t) \quad (13)$$

and further define

$$\Delta \tilde{\lambda}(y, t) = \epsilon^{-1} \Delta \lambda(y, t) \quad (14)$$

whence we propose

$$h(x, y, t) = \epsilon h_1(x, y, t) + \epsilon^2 h_2(x, y, t) + O(\epsilon^3). \quad (15)$$

Perturbation Analysis

At first order we must solve

$$\mathcal{L}[h_1(x, y, t)] = -\tilde{\lambda}(t)\mathcal{E}_y(y_t)P_m(x, t)$$

for $t \in D_m$ with final condition that $h_1(x, T_m) = 0$. This can be achieved by means of the following readily obtainable Green's function for the diffusion operator $\mathcal{L}[\cdot]$:

$$G(x, y, t; \xi, \eta, \nu) = B(t, \nu) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} N_2 \left(\frac{x - \xi}{\sqrt{h_1(t, \nu)}}, \frac{ye^{-\alpha(\nu-t)} - \eta}{\sqrt{l_y(t, \nu)}}; \rho(t, \nu) \right) \quad (16)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq \nu$, where $N_2(x_1, x_2; \rho)$ is a standard bivariate cumulative Gaussian distribution with correlation ρ and

$$\rho(t, \nu) := \frac{l_\rho(t, \nu)}{\sqrt{h_1(t, \nu)l_y(t, \nu)}}.$$

Theorem: Call Option CVA

Applying the Green's function, setting $x = y = t = 0$ and reverting to unscaled notation we obtain:

Theorem

The CVA for a European call option on an equity underlying can be estimated under our modelling assumptions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CVA}(0) = & \int_0^{T_m} B(0, v) \bar{\lambda}(v) (1 + k) D(v, T_m) F_2(v, T_m) e^{I_\rho(0, v)} \psi_1^+(v, T_m) dv \\ & - \int_0^{T_m} B(0, v) \bar{\lambda}(v) (D(v, T_m) K \psi_2^+(v, T_m) + C(v) N(a_2(I_\rho(0, v), 0, v))) dv \\ & + O(\epsilon^2) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Proof. The proof is by construction, by analogy with the calculation of [Geske79]. □

Notation

In stating the above theorem we have defined

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_i^\pm(v, w) &:= N_2(\pm a_i(I_\rho(0, v), 0, v), \pm b_i(I_\rho(0, v), 0, v, w), R(0, v, w)), \\ \xi^*(v) &:= \sup\{\xi \mid P_m(\xi, v) = 0\}, \\ a_2(x, t, v) &:= \frac{x - \xi^*(v)}{\sqrt{l_1(t, v)}}, \\ a_1(x, t, v) &:= a_2(x, t, v) + \sqrt{l_1(t, v)}, \\ b_2(x, t, v, w) &:= \frac{\ln((1+k)M_2(x, v, w)) - \ln K}{\sqrt{l_2(t, v, w)}}, \\ b_1(x, t, v, w) &:= b_2(x, t, v, w) + \sqrt{l_2(t, v, w)}, \\ R(t, v, w) &:= \sqrt{\frac{l_1(t, v)}{l_2(t, v, w)}}, \quad v < w.\end{aligned}$$

Note that in terms of our previous notation

$$b_i(x, v, v, w) \equiv d_i(x, v, w), \quad i = 1, 2$$

Theorem: Put Option CVA

Reusing the previous notation but interpreting the option price as

$$f_m(x, t) = D(t, T_m) (KN(-d_2(x, t, T_m)) - (1 + k)M_2(x, t, T_m)N(-d_1(x, t, T_m))) \quad (18)$$

for the put, and $\xi^*(v)$ as inf rather than sup, we have

Theorem

The CVA on a European put option on an equity underlying can be estimated under our modelling assumptions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CVA}(0) = & \int_0^{T_m} B(0, v) \bar{\lambda}(v) \left(D(v, T_m) K \psi_2^-(v, T_m) - C(v) N(-a_2(I_\rho(0, v), 0, v)) \right) dv \\ & - \int_0^{T_m} B(0, v) \bar{\lambda}(v) (1 + k) D(v, T_m) F_2(v, T_m) e^{I_\rho(0, v)} \psi_1^-(v, T_m) dv + O(\epsilon^2). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to that for the call option. □

Dynamically Updated Collateral

Suppose at scheduled dates t_j , $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ the collateral is updated to reflect the current exposure level associated with the option, viz. its PV.

Each exposure period $[t_j, t_{j+1})$ can be considered separately, with the compound option taken to be forward-starting at t_j with strike given by the value at time t_j of the option, conditional on survival of the option writer.

Suppose the PV of the option for collateral calculation purposes at $t = t_j$ can be calculated assuming the forward evolution of S_t to be governed by the *second* line of Eq. (4). (This should be a reasonable assumption if the equity model is well calibrated.)

Thus we propose

$$S_t = F_2(t_j, t) e^{x_t - \frac{1}{2} I_2(0, t_j, t)}, \quad t \geq t_j \geq 0$$

New CVA Payoff

We infer the market value of the option as of time t_j conditional on $x_{t_j} = x$ and $\tau > t_j$ is

$$C_j(x) = D(t_j, T_m)(M_2(x, t_j, T_m)N(d_1^*(x, t_j, T_m)) - KN(d_2^*(x, t_j, T_m))), \quad (20)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_2^*(x, t, u) &:= \frac{\ln M_2(x, t, u) - \ln K}{\sqrt{I_2(t, u)}}, \\ d_1^*(x, t, u) &:= d_2^*(x, t, u) + \sqrt{I_2(t, u)} \end{aligned}$$

The payoff function we must now consider conditional on $x_{t_j} = x_j$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ becomes

$$P_m^*(x, t) := (1 - R) \max \{ f_m(x, t) - C_j(x_j), 0 \} (H(t - t_j) - H(t - t_{j+1})),$$

Theorem: Call Option CVA – Collateral Update

Theorem

The CVA on a European call option on an equity underlying associated with default in a forward time period $[t_j, t_{j+1})$ where the collateral is reset at t_j can be estimated under our modelling assumptions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CVA}^{(j)}(0) \approx & \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} B(0, v) D(v, T_m) \bar{\lambda}(v) (1 + k) F_2(v, T_m) e^{I_\rho(0, v)} \psi_1^{(j)+}(I_\rho(0, v), v, T_m) dv \\ & - K \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} B(0, v) D(v, T_m) \bar{\lambda}(v) \psi_2^{(j)+}(I_\rho(0, v), v, T_m) dv \\ & - \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \bar{\lambda}(v) B(0, v) D(v, T_m) N\left(a_2^{(j)}(I_\rho(t_j, v), t_j, v)\right) dv \\ & \left(e^{I_\rho(0, t_j)} F_2(t_j, T_m) N(b_1^{(j)}(I_\rho(0, t_j), 0, T_m)) - K N(b_2^{(j)}(I_\rho(0, t_j), 0, T_m)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Notation

In stating the above theorem we have defined

$$\psi_i^{(j)\pm}(v, w) := N_2(\pm a_i^{(j)}(I_\rho(0, v), 0, v), \pm b_i^{(j)}(I_\rho(0, v), 0, v, w), R(0, v, w)),$$

$$\xi_j^*(x, v) := \inf\{\xi \mid f_m(\xi, v) - C_j(x) > 0\},$$

$$\xi_j^*(v) := \xi_j^*(0, v),$$

$$a_2^{(j)}(x, t, v) := \frac{x - \xi_j^*(v)}{\sqrt{h_1(t, v)}},$$

$$a_1^{(j)}(x, t, v) := a_2(x, t, v) + \sqrt{h_1(t, v)},$$

$$b_2^{(j)}(x, t, w) := \frac{\ln M_2(x, t_j, w) - \ln K}{\sqrt{l_2(t, t_j, w)}},$$

$$b_1^{(j)}(x, t, w) := b_2(x, t, w) + \sqrt{l_2(t, t_j, w)}.$$






We have also used the approximation that $\xi_j^*(x, v) \approx x + \xi_j^*(v)$ to facilitate the analysis.

A similar result can be derived for the put option. For more details, see [Turfus17].

Further Developments

- ▶ The approach described is readily applicable to CVA calculation for FX and commodity options. It should also be extensible to other European-style options such as digitals.
- ▶ Work is ongoing to examine the impact of the correlation and jump risk on the CVA in practical contexts and to ascertain the reliability of the approximation methods used.
- ▶ More details of the above calculation are available in the companion working paper, see [Turfus17].

References

-  Black, F., P. Karasinski (1991) 'Bond and Option Pricing when Short Rates are Lognormal' Financial Analysts Journal, Vol. 47(4), pp. 52-59.
-  Turfus, C. (2016a) 'Analytic Calibration of Black-Karasinski Short Rate Model for Low Rates' <https://archive.org/details/BlackKarasinskiModelLowRates>.
-  Turfus, C. (2016b) 'Contingent Convertible Bond Pricing with a Black-Karasinski Credit Model' <https://archive.org/details/CocoBondPricingBlackKarasinski>.
-  Geske, R. (1979) 'The Valuation of Compound Options' Journal of Financial Economics Vol. 7, pp. 63–81.
-  Turfus, C. (2017) 'Analytic Solution for CVA of a Collateralised Call Option' <https://archive.org/details/CallOptionCVABlackKarasinski>